

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/694,600	10/27/2003	Michael Ostreicher	A-3840	3519
24131	590 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA P O BOX 2480			MORRISON, THOMAS A	
	D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3653	

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*.	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- Y</del>		
Office Action Summany	10/694,600	OSTREICHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	1 April 2005.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2005</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	• •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	<del>-</del>	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received			
	3. and doranied dopied flot	555.TOU.			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Intensions C	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

4

Application/Control Number: 10/694,600 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (1) "blowing devices 22" should either be -- blowing device 22 -- or it should be indicated that only one blowing device 22 is shown; (4) "sensing elements 23" should either be -- sensing element 23 -- or it should be indicated that only one sensing element 23 is shown.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: (1) "said cylinder" should be -- said lifting cylinder --. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 12 and their dependent claims, these claims require a second suction chamber coaxially surrounding a first suction chamber for holding a spring-action suction head/lifting cylinder and for reducing a lowering speed of the spring-action suction head/lifting cylinder. It is unclear as to the relationship between

Application/Control Number: 10/694,600 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

the spring-action suction head/lifting cylinder and the second suction chamber. In other words, there is insufficient structure recited in the claims to understand how the second suction chamber reduces the lowering speed of the spring-action suction head/lifting cylinder.

## Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 1, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the objection to the specification, Applicant argues that (1) Fig. 1 is a two dimensional diagrammatic view of a printing press, (2) the leaders indicating these items (e.g., 22 and 23) point to the side of the elements and sufficiently support the fact that there is a plurality of the items, and (3) no further clarification is needed. In response, Fig. 1 appears to only show one blowing device 22 and one sensing element 23. As such, the specification should clearly indicate that there are blowing devices 22 and sensing elements 23, but only one of each is shown in Fig. 1.

Regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, Applicant notes that claim 1 does not recite the limitation of a lifting cylinder. Accordingly, the Examiner's comments regarding the rejection of claim 1 are not understood. In response, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been changed to correct a typographical error and state that it is unclear as to the relationship between the spring-action suction head and the second suction chamber in claim 1. The same basic rejection applies to claims 1 and 12.

With regard to the rejection of claim 12, Applicant argues that it is believed that the language of the second suction chamber surrounding and holding the lifting cylinder provides sufficient structure for the lifting cylinder to have its speed reduced by suction/vacuum. In response, claim 1 and claim 12 both do not recite the specific structures or structural relationships between the elements that allow the speed of the spring-action suction head or the speed of the lifting cylinder to be reduced. The fact that the second suction chamber surrounds the first suction chamber does not provide sufficient structure to understand how the speed of the spring action suction head or the speed of the lifting cylinder is reduced. What specific structure on the second suction chamber and/or the first suction chamber causes the lowering speed of the springaction suction head to be reduced? Similarly, what structure on the second suction chamber and/or the first suction chamber causes the lowering speed of the lifting cylinder to be reduced? As one possibility, the structures can be further defined. Alternatively, it is possible that some sort of means plus function language could be added to the claims, provided that there is sufficient support for such language in the specification.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Morrison whose telephone number is (571) 272-7221. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am - 5pm.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/694,600 Page 5

Art Unit: 3653

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on (571) 272-6944. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DONALD REALEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT ENAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600